

Exterior and evolutionary skew-symmetric differential forms and their role in mathematical physics

L. I. Petrova

Moscow State University, Russia, ptr@cs.msu.su

At present the theory of skew-symmetric exterior differential forms has been developed [1–6]. The closed exterior differential forms possess the invariant properties that are of great functional and utilitarian importance. The operators of the exterior differential form theory lie at the basis of the differential and integral operators of the field theory.

However, the theory of exterior differential forms, being invariant one, does not answer the questions related to the evolutionary processes.

In the work the readers are introduced to the skew-symmetric differential forms that possess evolutionary properties [7,8]. They were called evolutionary ones.

The radical distinction between the evolutionary forms and the exterior ones consists in the fact that the exterior differential forms are defined on manifolds with *closed metric forms*, whereas the evolutionary differential forms are defined on manifolds with *unclosed metric forms*. This difference forms lead to that the mathematical tools of exterior and evolutionary forms appear to be directly opposed. At the basis of the mathematical apparatus of exterior forms there lie identical relations, conjugated operators, nondegenerate transforms, whereas the basis of the mathematical apparatus of evolutionary forms makes up nonidentical relations, nonconjugated operators, degenerate transforms. Thus they complement each other and make up a complete mathematical apparatus. This mathematical apparatus allows description of discrete transitions, quantum steps, evolutionary processes, generation of various structures. These are radically new possibilities of the mathematical physics.

A significance of skew-symmetric differential forms for mathematical physics, is related to the fact that they reflect properties of the conservation laws. The apparatus of skew-symmetric differential forms allowed to explain a mechanism of evolutionary processes in material media and to disclose the role of the conservation laws in these processes. These processes lead to origination of physical structures from which the physical fields and manifolds are formed. This elucidates the causality of physical phenomena.

Introduction

Development of the differential and integral calculus in 17 century gave rise to a development of mathematical physics. Differential equations, boundary and initial problems enable one to describe many physical processes and phenomena. However, since the beginning of the 20th century a close connection of the differential and integral calculus and differential equations with physics has become weak. In mathematical physics it has arose the problem of invariant (independent of the choice of the coordinate system) description of physical phenomena. As the result, formalisms based on the tensor, group, variational methods, on the theories of the symmetries, transforms and so on with the basic

requirement of invariance have been developed in physics. In particular, it is most evident in branches of physics that are related to field theories.

It turns out that the closed exterior differential forms possess by all the same properties (invariant, group, tensor, structural, and other ones) that lie at the basis that of all invariant approaches in the mathematical physics [9-13]. Invariant properties of closed exterior differential forms explicitly or implicitly manifest themselves essentially in all formalisms of the field theory, such as the Hamilton formalism, tensor approaches, group methods, quantum mechanics equations, the Yang-Mills theory and others. The exterior differential forms integrate the algebraic and geometric approaches in physics.

However, the invariant methods of the mathematical physics with all their significance nevertheless do not solve all the problems of mathematical physics. They do not answer the question of related to the evolutionary processes, origination of various physical structures, formatting physical fields, a causality of physical phenomena, and so on. To answer these questions one again is forced to apply to the differential methods of investigations of the mathematical physics. And for these investigations the evolutionary differential forms appear to be necessary. They make it possible to keep track of the conjugacy of the equations, which describe physical processes that are necessary for investigating the evolutionary processes.

The existence of the skew-symmetric differential forms (forms with exterior multiplication), which possess the evolutionary properties, has been established by the author while studying the problems of stability and generation of various physical structures. Investigation of these problems showed that there exists an unique mathematical apparatus of differential forms that makes it possible to elucidate the mechanism of evolutionary processes.

1 Properties and specific features of the exterior differential forms

The exterior differential form of degree p (p -form on the differentiable manifold) can be written as [5,6,11]

$$\theta^p = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \wedge dx^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p} \quad 0 \leq p \leq n \quad (1.1)$$

Here $a_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ are the functions of the variables $x^{i_1}, x^{i_2}, \dots, x^{i_p}$, n is the dimension of space, $\wedge$ is the operator of exterior multiplication, $dx^i, dx^i \wedge dx^j, dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k, \dots$ is the local basis which satisfies the condition of exterior multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} dx^i \wedge dx^i &= 0 \\ dx^i \wedge dx^j &= -dx^j \wedge dx^i \quad i \neq j \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

[From here on the symbol $\sum$ will be omitted and it will be implied that the summation is performed over double indices. Besides, the symbol of exterior multiplication will be also omitted for the sake of presentation convenience].

The local domains of manifold are the basis of the exterior form.

It should be emphasized that the exterior differential forms and their operators are considered locally.

The differential of the (exterior) form θ^p is expressed as

$$d\theta^p = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_p} da_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} dx^{i_2} \dots dx^{i_p} \quad (1.3)$$

From definition of a differential one can see that, firstly, the differential of the exterior form is also the exterior form (but with the degree $(p+1)$), and, secondly, he can see that the components of the differential form commutator are coefficients of the form differential. Thus, the differential of the first-degree form $\omega = a_i dx^i$ can be written as $d\omega = K_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ where K_{ij} are the components of the commutator for the form ω that are defined as $K_{ij} = (\partial a_j / \partial x^i - \partial a_i / \partial x^j)$.

Closed exterior differential forms

In physical applications the closed differential forms with invariant properties appear to be of greatest practical utility.

A form is called a closed one if its differential is equal to zero:

$$d\theta^p = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

From condition (1.4) one can see that the closed form is a conservative quantity. This means that it can correspond to the conservation law, namely, to some conservative physical quantity.

The differential of the form is a closed form. That is

$$dd\omega = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

where ω is an arbitrary exterior form.

The form which is the differential of some other form

$$\theta^p = d\theta^{p-1} \quad (1.6)$$

is called an exact form. The exact forms prove to be closed automatically [9]

$$d\theta^p = dd\theta^{p-1} = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

Here it is necessary to pay attention to the following points. In the above presented formulas it was implicitly assumed that the differential operator d is the total one (that is, the operator d acts everywhere in the vicinity of the point considered locally), and therefore it acts on the manifold of the initial dimension n . However, the differential may be internal. Such a differential acts on some structure with the dimension being less than that of the initial manifold. The structure, on which the exterior differential form may become a closed inexact form, is a pseudostructure with respect to its metric properties. {Cohomology, sections of cotangent bundles, the eikonal surfaces and so on may be regarded

as examples of pseudostructures.} The properties of pseudostructures will be considered later.

If the form is closed on a pseudostructure only, the closure condition is written as

$$d_\pi \theta^p = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

And the pseudostructure π obeys the condition

$$d_\pi^* \theta^p = 0 \quad (1.9)$$

where $^* \theta^p$ is the dual form. (For the properties of dual forms see [12]).

From conditions (1.8) and (1.9) one can see that the form closed on pseudostructure (a closed inexact form) is a conservative object, namely, this quantity conserves on pseudostructure. This can also correspond to some conservation law, i.e. to conservative object. (These are precisely the objects which are physical structures that form physical fields.)

The exact form is, by definition, a differential (see condition (1.6)). In this case the differential is total. The closed inexact form is a differential too. The closed inexact form is an interior (on pseudostructure) differential, that is

$$\theta_\pi^p = d_\pi \theta^{p-1} \quad (1.10)$$

And so, any closed form is a differential of the form of a lower degree: the total one $\theta^p = d\theta^{p-1}$ if the form is exact, or the interior one $\theta^p = d_\pi \theta^{p-1}$ on pseudostructure if the form is inexact. From this it follows that the form of lower degree may correspond to the potential, and the closed form by itself may correspond to the potential force.

Invariant properties of closed exterior differential forms. Conjugacy of the exterior differential forms

Since the closed form is a differential (a total one if the form is exact, or an interior one on the pseudostructure if the form is inexact), then it is obvious that the closed form proves to be invariant under all transforms that conserve the differential. The unitary transforms (0-form), the tangent and canonical transforms (1-form), the gradient and gauge transforms (2-form) and so on are examples of such transforms. *These are gauge transforms for spinor, scalar, vector, tensor (3-form) fields.* (It is to be pointed out that just such transforms are used in field theory).

As mentioned above, from the closure condition it follows that the closed exterior differential forms are conservative objects. As the result, the closed form is a conservative invariant object. The covariance of the dual form is directly connected with the invariance of the exterior closed inexact form. This property of closed exterior differential forms and dual forms plays an essential role in describing the conservation laws and lies at the basis of the field theory.

The closure of the exterior differential forms and hence their invariance result from the conjugacy of elements of the exterior or dual forms.

From the definition of the exterior differential form one can see that the exterior differential forms have complex structure. The specific features of the exterior form structure are homogeneity with respect to the basis, skew-symmetry, the integration of terms each consisting of two objects of different nature (the algebraic nature for the form coefficients, and the geometric nature for the base components). Besides, the exterior form depends on the space dimension and on the manifold topology. The closure property of the exterior form means that any objects, namely, elements of the exterior form, components of elements, elements of the form differential, exterior and dual forms and others, turn out to be conjugated. It is the conjugacy that leads to realization of the invariant and covariant properties of the exterior and dual forms that have a great functional and applied importance. The variety of objects of conjugacy leads to the fact that the closed forms can describe a great number of different physical and spatial structures, and this fact once again emphasizes great mathematical potentialities of the exterior differential forms.

Operators of the theory of exterior differential forms

In differential calculus the derivatives are basic elements of the mathematical apparatus. By contrast, the differential is an element of mathematical apparatus of the theory of exterior differential forms. It enables one to analyze the conjugacy of derivatives in various directions, which extends potentialities of differential calculus.

The operator of exterior differential d (exterior differential) is an abstract generalization of ordinary mathematical operations of the gradient, curl, and divergence in the vector calculus [6]. If, in addition to the exterior differential, we introduce the following operators: (1) δ for transforms that convert the form of $p + 1$ degree into the form of p degree, (2) δ' for cotangent transforms, (3) Δ for the $d\delta - \delta d$ transform, (4) Δ' for the $d\delta' - \delta'd$ transform, then in terms of these operators that act on the exterior differential forms one can write down the operators in the field theory equations. The operator δ corresponds to Green's operator, δ' does to the canonical transform operator, Δ does to the d'Alembert operator in 4-dimensional space, and Δ' corresponds to the Laplace operator [11-13]. It can be seen that the operators of the exterior differential form theory are connected with many operators of mathematical physics.

Identical relations of exterior differential forms

In the theory of exterior differential forms the closed forms that possess various types of conjugacy play a principal role. Since the conjugacy is a certain connection between two operators or mathematical objects, it is evident that relations can be used to express conjugacy mathematically. Just such relations constitute the basis of the mathematical apparatus of the exterior differential forms. This is an identical relation.

The identical relations for exterior differential forms reflect the closure conditions of the differential forms, namely, vanishing the form differential (see

formulas (1.4), (1.8), (1.9)) and the conditions connecting the forms of consequent degrees (see formulas (1.6), (1.10)).

The importance of the identical relations for exterior differential forms is manifested by the fact that practically in all branches of physics, mechanics, thermodynamics one faces such identical relations. One can present the following examples:

- a) the Poincare invariant $ds = -H dt + p_j dq_j$,
- b) the second principle of thermodynamics $dS = (dE + p dV)/T$,
- c) the vital force theorem in theoretical mechanics: $dT = X_i dx^i$ where X_i are the components of the potential force, and $T = mV^2/2$ is the vital force,
- d) the conditions on characteristics [14] in the theory of differential equations, and so on.

The identical relations in differential forms express the fact that each closed exterior form is a differential of some exterior form (with the degree less by one). In general form such an identical relation can be written as

$$d_\pi \phi = \theta_\pi^p \quad (1.11)$$

In this relation the form in the right-hand side has to be a *closed* one. (As it will be shown below, the identical relations are satisfied only on pseudostructures).

In identical relation (1.11) in one side it stands the closed form and in other side does the differential of some differential form of the less by one degree, which is the closed form as well.

In addition to relations in the differential forms from the closure conditions of the differential forms and the conditions connecting the forms of consequent degrees the identical relations of other types are obtained. The types of such relations are presented below.

1. *Integral identical relations.*

The formulas by Newton, Leibnitz, Green, the integral relations by Stokes, Gauss-Ostrogradskii are examples of integral identical relations.

2. *Tensor identical relations.*

From the relations that connect exterior forms of consequent degrees one can obtain the vector and tensor identical relations that connect the operators of the gradient, curl, divergence and so on.

From the closure conditions of exterior and dual forms one can obtain the identical relations such as the gauge relations in electromagnetic field theory, the tensor relations between connectednesses and their derivatives in gravitation (the symmetry of connectednesses with respect to lower indices, the Bianchi identity, the conditions imposed on the Christoffel symbols) and so on.

3. *Identical relations between derivatives.*

The identical relations between derivatives correspond to the closure conditions of exterior and dual forms. The examples of such relations are the above presented Cauchy-Riemann conditions in the theory of complex variables, the transversality condition in the calculus of variations, the canonical relations in the Hamilton formalism, the thermodynamic relations between derivatives of thermodynamic functions [15], the condition that the derivative of implicit function is subjected to, the eikonal relations [16] and so on.

Nondegenerate transforms

One of the fundamental methods in the theory of exterior differential forms is application of *nondegenerate* transforms (below it will be shown that *degenerate* transforms appear in the mathematical apparatus of the evolutionary forms).

Nondegenerate transforms, if applied to identical relations, enable one to obtain new identical relations and new closed exterior differential forms.

In the theory of exterior differential forms the nondegenerate transforms are those that conserve the differential. The examples of nondegenerate transforms are unitary, tangent, canonical, gradient, and gauge transforms.

From description of operators of exterior differential forms one can see that those are operators that execute some transforms. All these transforms are connected with the above listed nondegenerate transforms of exterior differential forms.

Thus, even from this brief description of the properties and specific features of the exterior differential forms can clearly see wide functional and utilitarian potentialities of exterior differential forms.

Before going to description of a new evolutionary differential forms it should dwell on the properties of manifolds on which skew-symmetric differential forms are defined.

2 Some properties of manifolds

In the definition of exterior differential forms a differentiable manifold was mentioned. Differentiable manifolds are topological spaces that locally behave like Euclidean spaces [9,17].

But differentiable manifolds are not a single type of manifolds on which the exterior differential forms are defined. In the general case there are manifolds with structures of any types. The theory of exterior differential forms was developed just for such manifolds. They may be the Hausdorff manifolds, fiber spaces, the comological, characteristical, configuration manifolds and so on. These manifolds and their properties are treated in [2,4,9,11] and in some other works. Since all these manifolds possess structures of any types, they have one common property, namely, locally they admit one-to-one mapping into the Euclidean subspaces and into other manifolds or submanifolds of the same dimension [9].

While describing the evolutionary processes in material system one is forced to deal with manifolds which do not allow one-to-one mapping described above. The manifolds that can be called accompanying manifolds are variable manifolds. The differential forms that arise when evolutionary processes are being described can be defined on manifolds of this type.

What are the characteristic properties and specific features of accompanying manifolds and of evolutionary differential forms connected with them?

To answer this question, let us analyze some properties of metric forms.

Assume that on the manifold one can set the coordinate system with base

vectors $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ and define the metric forms of manifold [18]: $(\mathbf{e}_\mu \mathbf{e}_\nu)$, $(\mathbf{e}_\mu dx^\mu)$, $(d\mathbf{e}_\mu)$. The metric forms and their commutators define the metric and differential characteristics of the manifold.

If metric forms are closed (the commutators are equal to zero), then the metric is defined $g_{\mu\nu} = (\mathbf{e}_\mu \mathbf{e}_\nu)$ and the results of translation over manifold of the point $d\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{e}_\mu dx^\mu)$ and of the unit frame $d\mathbf{A} = (d\mathbf{e}_\mu)$ prove to be independent of the curve shape (the path of integration).

The closed metric forms define the manifold structure, and the commutators of metric forms define the manifold differential characteristics that specify the manifold deformation: bending, torsion, rotation, twist.

It is evident that manifolds that are metric ones or possess the structure have closed metric forms. It is with such manifolds that the exterior differential forms are connected.

If the manifolds are deforming manifolds, this means that their metric form commutators are nonzero. That is, the metric forms of such manifolds turn out to be unclosed. The accompanying manifolds appearing to be deforming are the examples of such manifolds.

The skew-symmetric evolutionary differential forms whose basis are accompanying deforming manifolds are defined on manifolds with unclosed metric forms.

What are the characteristic properties and specific features of such manifolds and the related differential forms?

For description of the manifold differential characteristics and, correspondingly, the metric forms commutators one can use the connectednesses [2,5,9,18].

Let us consider the affine connectednesses and their relations to commutators of metric forms.

The components of metric forms can be expressed in terms of connectedness $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ [18]. The expressions $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$, $(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho)$, $R_{\nu\rho\sigma}^\mu$ are components of the commutators of the metric forms of zero- first- and third degrees. (The commutator of the second degree metric form is written down in a more complex manner [18], and therefore it is not given here).

As it is known [18], for the Euclidean manifold these commutators vanish identically. For the Riemann manifold the commutator of the third-degree metric form is nonzero: $R_{\nu\rho\sigma}^\mu \neq 0$.

Commutators of interior metric forms vanish in the case of manifolds that allow local one-to-one mapping into subspaces of the Euclidean space. In other words, the metric forms of such manifolds turn out to be closed.

With the metric form commutators the topological properties of manifolds are connected. The metric form commutators specify the manifold distortion. For example, the commutator of the zero degree metric form $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ characterizes the bend, that of the first degree form $(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho)$ characterizes the torsion, the commutator of the third -degree metric form $R_{\nu\rho\sigma}^\mu$ determines the curvature.

As it will be shown in the next section, it is with the properties of the metric form commutators that the characteristic properties of differential forms whose basis are manifolds with unclosed metric forms are connected.

3 Evolutionary differential forms

Thus, the exterior differential forms are skew-symmetric differential forms defined on manifolds, submanifolds or on structures with closed metric forms. The evolutionary differential forms are skew-symmetric differential forms defined on manifolds with metric forms that are unclosed.

Let us point out some properties of evolutionary forms and show what their difference from exterior differential forms is manifested in. An evolutionary differential form of degree p (p -form), as well as an exterior differential form, can be written down as

$$\omega^p = \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge dx^{\alpha_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} \quad 0 \leq p \leq n \quad (3.1)$$

where the local basis obeys the condition of exterior multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\alpha &= 0 \\ dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta &= -dx^\beta \wedge dx^\alpha \quad \alpha \neq \beta \end{aligned}$$

(the summation over repeated indices is implied).

But the evolutionary form differential cannot be written similarly to that presented for exterior differential forms (see formula (1.3)). In the evolutionary form differential there appears an additional term connected with the fact that the basis of the form changes. For the differential forms defined on the manifold with unclosed metric form one has $d(dx^{\alpha_1} dx^{\alpha_2} \dots dx^{\alpha_p}) \neq 0$. For this reason the differential of the evolutionary form ω^p can be written as

$$d\omega^p = \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} da_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} dx^{\alpha_1} dx^{\alpha_2} \dots dx^{\alpha_p} + \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} d(dx^{\alpha_1} dx^{\alpha_2} \dots dx^{\alpha_p}) \quad (3.2)$$

where the second term is connected with the differential of the basis. That is expressed in terms of the metric form commutator. For the manifold with a closed metric form this term vanishes.

For example, let us consider the first-degree form $\omega = a_\alpha dx^\alpha$. The differential of this form can be written as $d\omega = K_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$, where $K_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\beta;\alpha} - a_{\alpha;\beta}$ are the components of the commutator of the form ω , and $a_{\beta;\alpha}$, $a_{\alpha;\beta}$ are the covariant derivatives. If we express the covariant derivatives in terms of the connectness (if it is possible), then they can be written as $a_{\beta;\alpha} = \partial a_\beta / \partial x^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma a_\sigma$, where the first term results from differentiating the form coefficients, and the second term results from differentiating the basis. (In the Euclidean space the covariant derivatives coincide with ordinary ones since in this case the derivatives of the basis vanish). If we substitute the expressions for covariant derivatives into the formula for the commutator components, then we obtain the following expression for the commutator components of the form ω :

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial a_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial a_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \right) + (\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma) a_\sigma \quad (3.3)$$

Here the expressions $(\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma)$ entered into the second term are just the components of commutator of the first-degree metric form.

That is, the corresponding metric form commutator will enter into the differential form commutator.

Thus, the differentials and, correspondingly, the commutators of exterior and evolutionary forms are of different types.

Nonclosure of evolutionary differential forms

The evolutionary differential form commutator, in contrast to that of the exterior one, cannot be equal to zero because it involves the metric form commutator being nonzero. This means that the evolutionary form differential is nonzero. Hence, the evolutionary differential form, in contrast to the case of the exterior form, cannot be closed.

Since the evolutionary differential forms are unclosed, the mathematical apparatus of evolutionary differential forms does not seem to possess any possibilities connected with the algebraic, group, invariant and other properties of closed exterior differential forms. However, the mathematical apparatus of evolutionary forms proves to be significantly wider due to the fact that evolutionary differential forms can generate closed exterior differential forms. The mathematical apparatus of evolutionary differential forms includes, as it will be shown below, some new unconventional elements.

Nonidentical relations of evolutionary differential forms

Above it was shown that the identical relations lie at the basis of the mathematical apparatus of exterior differential forms.

In contrast to this, nonidentical relations lie at the basis of the mathematical apparatus of evolutionary differential forms.

The nonidentical relation is a relation between a closed exterior differential form, which is a differential and is a measurable quantity, and an evolutionary form that is an unmeasurable quantity.

Nonidentical relations of such type appear in descriptions of the physical processes. They may be written as

$$d\psi = \omega^p \quad (3.4)$$

Here ω^p is the p -degree evolutionary form that is nonintegrable, ψ is some form of degree $(p - 1)$, and the differential $d\psi$ is a closed form of degree p .

This relation is an evolutionary relation as it involves an evolutionary form.

In the left-hand side of this relation it stands the form differential, i.e. a closed form that is an invariant object. In the right-hand side it stands the nonintegrable unclosed form that is not an invariant object. Such a relation cannot be identical.

One can see a difference of relations for exterior forms and evolutionary ones. In the right-hand side of identical relation (1.11) it stands a closed form, whereas the form in the right-hand side of nonidentical relation (3.4) is an unclosed one.

How is this relation obtained?

Let us consider this by the example of the first degree differential forms. A differential of the function of more than one variables can be an example of the first degree form. In this case the function itself is the exterior form of zero degree. The state function that specifies the state of a material system can serve as an example of such function. When the physical processes in a material system are being described, the state function may be unknown, but its derivatives may be known. The values of the function derivatives may be equal to some expressions that are obtained from the description of a real physical process. And it is necessary to find the state function.

Assume that ψ is the desired state function that depends on the variables x^α and also assume that its derivatives in various directions are known and equal to some quantities a_α , namely:

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha} = a_\alpha \quad (3.5)$$

Let us set up the differential expression $(\partial\psi/\partial x^\alpha)dx^\alpha$ (here the summation over repeated indices is implied). This differential expression is equal to

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha}dx^\alpha = a_\alpha dx^\alpha \quad (3.6)$$

Here the left-hand side of the expression is a differential of the function $d\psi$, and in the right-hand side it stands the differential form $\omega = a_\alpha dx^\alpha$. Relation (3.6) can be written as

$$d\psi = \omega \quad (3.7)$$

It is evident that relation (3.7) is of the same type as (3.4) under the condition that the differential form degrees are equal to 1.

This relation is nonidentical because the differential form ω is an unclosed differential form. The commutator of this form is nonzero since the expressions a_α for the derivatives $(\partial\psi/\partial x^\alpha)$ are nonconjugated quantities. They are obtained from the description of an actual physical process and are unmeasurable quantities.

One can come to relation (3.7) by means of analyzing the integrability of the partial differential equation. An equation is integrable if it can be reduced to the form $d\psi = dU$. However it appears that, if the equation is not subjected to an additional condition (the integrability condition), it is reduced to the form (3.7), where ω is an unclosed form and it cannot be expressed as a differential. The first principle of thermodynamics is an example of nonidentical relation.

How to work with nonidentical relation?

While investigating real physical processes one often faces the relations that are nonidentical. But it is commonly believed that only identical relations can have any physical meaning. For this reason one immediately attempts to impose a condition onto the nonidentical relation under which this relation becomes

identical, and it is considered only that can satisfy the additional conditions. And all remaining is rejected. It is not taken into account that a nonidentical relation is often obtained from a description of some physical process and it has physical meaning at every stage of the physical process rather than at the stage when the additional conditions are satisfied. In essence the physical process does not considered completely.

This approach does not solve the evolutionary problem.

Below we present the evolutionary approach to the investigation of the non-identical relation.

At this point it should be emphasized that the nonidentity of the evolutionary relation does not mean the imperfect accuracy of the mathematical description of a physical process. The nonidentical relations are indicative of specific features of the physical process development.

Selfvariation of the evolutionary nonidentical relation

The evolutionary nonidentical relation is selfvarying, because, firstly, it is non-identical, namely, it contains two objects one of which appears to be unmeasurable, and, secondly, it is an evolutionary relation, namely, the variation of any object of the relation in some process leads to variation of another object and, in turn, the variation of the latter leads to variation of the former. Since one of the objects is an unmeasurable quantity, the other cannot be compared with the first one, and hence, the process of mutual variation cannot stop. This process is governed by the evolutionary form commutator.

Varying the evolutionary form coefficients leads to varying the first term of the commutator (see (3.3)). In accordance with this variation it varies the second term, that is, the metric form of the manifold varies. Since the metric form commutators specifies the manifold differential characteristics that are connected with the manifold deformation (for example, the commutator of the zero degree metric form specifies the bend, that of second degree specifies various types of rotation, that of the third degree specifies the curvature), then it points to the manifold deformation. This means that it varies the evolutionary form basis. In turn, it leads to variation of the evolutionary form, and the process of intervariation of the evolutionary form and the basis is repeated. The processes of variation of the evolutionary form and the basis are governed by the evolutionary form commutator and it is realized according to the evolutionary relation.

Selfvariation of the evolutionary relation goes on by exchange between the evolutionary form coefficients and the manifold characteristics. This is an exchange between physical quantities and space-time characteristics. (This is an exchange between quantities of different nature).

The process of the evolutionary relation selfvariation cannot come to an end. This is indicated by the fact that both the evolutionary form commutator and the evolutionary relation involve unmeasurable quantities.

The question arises whether an identical relation can be obtained from this nonidentical selfvarying relation, which would allow determination of the desired

differential form under the differential sign.

It appears that it is possible under the degenerate transform.

Degenerate transforms.

To obtain an identical relation from the evolutionary nonidentical relation, it is necessary that a closed exterior differential form should be derived from the evolutionary differential form that is included into evolutionary relation.

However, as it was shown above, the evolutionary form cannot be a closed form. For this reason the transition from an evolutionary form is possible only to an *inexact* closed exterior form that is defined on pseudostructure.

To the pseudostructure there corresponds a closed dual form (whose differential vanishes). For this reason a transition from an evolutionary form to a closed exterior form proceeds only when the conditions of vanishing the dual form differential are realized, in other words, when the metric form differential or commutator becomes equal to zero.

The conditions of vanishing the dual form differential (additional conditions) determine the closed metric form and thereby specify the pseudostructure (the dual form).

In this case the closed exterior (*inexact*) form is formed. The evolutionary form commutator and, correspondingly, the evolutionary form differential vanish on the pseudostructure.

At this point it should be emphasized that the commutator, that equals zero, (and the differential) is an interior one. This commutator is defined only on the pseudostructure, the total commutator being nonzero. The evolutionary form remains to be unclosed.

Since the evolutionary form differential is nonzero, whereas the closed exterior form differential is zero, then a passage from the evolutionary form to the closed exterior form is allowed only under *degenerate transform*.

The conditions of vanishing the dual form differential (an additional condition) are the conditions of degenerate transform.

Additional conditions can be realized, for example, if it will appear any symmetries of the evolutionary form coefficients or its commutator. This can happen under selfvariation of the nonidentical relation. (While describing material system such additional conditions are related, for example, to additional degrees of freedom).

Mathematically to the conditions of degenerate transform there corresponds a requirement that some functional expressions become equal to zero. Such functional expressions are Jacobians, determinants, the Poisson brackets, residues, and others.

Obtaining an identical relation from a nonidentical one

Assume that the nonidentical relation has the form of (3.4) and from the evolutionary form ω^p the differential form closed on pseudostructure was obtained.

Since the closed exterior form is a differential, in this case the evolutionary relation (3.4) becomes identical on the pseudostructure. From this relation one can find the desired differential $d_\pi\psi$ that is equal to the closed exterior differential form derived. One can obtain the desired form ψ from this differential.

It can be shown that all identical relations of the exterior differential form theory are obtained from nonidentical relations (that contain the evolutionary forms) by applying the degenerate transform.

Under degenerate transform the evolutionary form differential vanishes only on pseudostructure. The total differential of the evolutionary form is nonzero. The evolutionary form remains unclosed.

Integration of the nonidentical evolutionary relation

Under degenerate transform from the nonidentical evolutionary relation one obtains a relation being identical on pseudostructure. It is just a relation that one can integrate and obtain the relation with the differential forms of less by one degree.

The relation obtained after integration proves to be nonidentical as well.

The obtained nonidentical relation of degree $(p - 1)$ can be integrated once again if the corresponding degenerate transform is realized and the identical relation is formed.

By sequential integrating the evolutionary relation of degree p (in the case of realization of the corresponding degenerate transforms and forming the identical relation), one can get closed (on the pseudostructure) exterior forms of degree k , where k ranges from p to 0.

In this case one can see that under such integration closed (on the pseudostructure) exterior forms, which depend on two parameters, are obtained. These parameters are the degree of evolutionary form p (in the evolutionary relation) and the degree of created closed forms k .

In addition to these parameters, another parameter appears, namely, the dimension of space. If the evolutionary relation generates the closed forms of degrees $k = p, k = p - 1, \dots, k = 0$, to them there correspond the pseudostructures of dimensions $(N - k)$, where N is the space dimension. It is known that to the closed exterior differential forms of degree k there correspond skew-symmetric tensors of rank k and to corresponding dual forms there do the pseudotensors of rank $(N - k)$, where N is the space dimensionality. The pseudostructures correspond to such tensors, but only on the space formed.

The properties of pseudostructures and closed exterior forms. Forming fields and manifolds

As mentioned before, the additional conditions, namely, the conditions of degenerate transform, specify the pseudostructure. But at every stage of the evolutionary process it is realized only one element of pseudostructure, namely, a certain minipseudostructure. The additional conditions determine the direction (a derivative that specifies the minipseudostructure) on which the evolutionary

form differential vanishes. (However, in this case the total differential of the evolutionary form is nonzero). The closed exterior form is formed along this direction.

While varying the evolutionary variable the minipseudostructures form the pseudostructure.

The example of minipseudostructure is the wave front. The wave front is the eikonal surface (the level surface), i.e. the surface with a conservative quantity. The direction that specifies the pseudostructure is a connection between the evolutionary and spatial variables. It gives the rate of changing the spatial variables. Such a rate is a velocity of the wave front translation. While its translation the wave front forms the pseudostructure.

The manifolds with closed metric forms are formed by pseudostructures. They are obtained from manifolds with unclosed metric forms. In this case the initial manifold (on which the evolutionary form is defined) and the formed manifold with closed metric forms (on which the closed exterior form is defined) are different spatial objects.

It takes place a transition from the initial manifold with unclosed metric form to the pseudostructure, namely, to the created manifold with closed metric forms. Mathematically this transition (degenerate transform) proceeds as a transition from one frame of reference to another, nonequivalent, frame of reference.

The pseudostructures, on which the closed *inexact* forms are defined, form the pseudomanifolds (integral surfaces, pseudo-Riemann and pseudo-Euclidean spaces are the examples of such manifolds). In this process the dimensions of formed manifolds are connected with the evolutionary form degree (This will be shown in sections 5 and 6).

To the transition from pseudomanifolds to metric manifolds there corresponds the transition from closed *inexact* differential forms to *exact* exterior differential forms. (Euclidean and Riemann spaces are the examples of metric manifolds).

Here it is to be noted that the examples of pseudometric spaces are the potential surfaces (the surfaces of a simple layer, a double layer and so on). In these cases a type of the potential surfaces is connected with the above listed parameters.

The fields of conservative quantities (the physical fields) are formed from closed exterior forms at the same time when the manifolds are created from the pseudostructures. The specific feature of the manifolds with closed metric forms that have been formed is that they can carry some information.

Since the closed metric form is dual with respect to some closed exterior differential form, the metric forms cannot become closed by themselves, independently of the exterior differential form. This proves that the manifolds with closed metric forms are connected with the closed exterior differential forms. This indicates that the fields of conservative quantities are formed from closed exterior forms at the same time when the manifolds are created from the pseudostructures. The specific feature of the manifolds with closed metric forms that have been formed is that they can carry some information.

That is, the closed exterior differential forms and manifolds, on which they are defined, are mutually connected objects. On the one hand, this shows duality of these two objects (the pseudostructure and the closed inexact exterior form), and, on the other hand, this means that these objects constitute a unified whole. This whole is a new conjugated object. This is an example of the differential and geometrical structure (G-Structure). Such binary object can be named as Bi-Structure.

Characteristics of the Bi-Structure

Since the closed exterior differential form that corresponds to the arisen Bi-Structure was obtained from the nonidentical relation that involves the evolutionary form, it is evident that the Bi-Structure characteristics must be connected with those of the evolutionary form and of the manifold on which this form is defined, with the conditions of degenerate transform and with the values of commutators of the evolutionary form and the manifold metric form.

While describing the mechanism of Bi-Structure origination one can see that at the instant the Bi-Structure originates there appear the following typical functional expressions and quantities: (1) the condition of degenerate transform, i.e. vanishing of the interior commutator of the metric form; (2) vanishing of the interior commutator of the evolutionary form; (3) the value of the nonzero total commutator of the evolutionary form that involves two terms, namely, the first term is composed of the derivatives of the evolutionary form coefficients, and the second term is composed of the derivatives of the coefficients of the dual form that is connected with the manifold (here we deal with a value that the evolutionary form commutator assumes at the instant of Bi-Structure origination). They determine the following characteristics of the Bi-Structure. The conditions of degenerate transform, as it was said before, determine the pseudostructures. The first term of the evolutionary form commutator determines the value of the discrete change (the quantum), which the quantity conserved on the pseudostructure undergoes at the transition from one pseudostructure to another. The second term of the evolutionary form commutator specifies a characteristic that fixes the character of the initial manifold deformation that took place before the Bi-Structure arose. (Spin is such an example).

A discrete (quantum) change of a quantity proceeds in the direction that is normal (more exactly, transverse) to the pseudostructure. Jumps of the derivatives normal to the potential surfaces are examples of such changes.

Bi-Structure may carry a physical meaning. Such binary objects are the physical structures from which the physical fields are formed. (About this it will be written below).

Transition from nonconjugated operators to conjugated operators

The mathematical apparatus of the evolutionary differential forms reveals the process of conjugating the operators. A mutual variations of the evolutionary

form coefficients (which have the algebraic nature) and the manifold characteristics (which have the geometric nature) and the realization of the degenerate transform as well is the mechanism of this process. Hence one can see that the process of conjugating the operators is a mutual exchange between the physical and spatial quantities (between the quantities of different nature) and the degenerate transform under additional conditions.

The evolutionary differential form is an unclosed form, that is, it is the form whose differential is not equal to zero. The differential of the exterior differential form equals zero. To the closed exterior form there correspond conjugated operators, whereas to the evolutionary form there correspond nonconjugated operators. The transition from the evolutionary form to the closed exterior form is that from nonconjugated operators to conjugated ones. This is expressed mathematically as the transition from a nonzero differential (the evolutionary form differential is nonzero) to a differential that equals zero (the closed exterior form differential equals zero), and this is effected as a transition from one coordinate system to another (nonequivalent) coordinate system.

In conclusion of this section it is essential to emphasize the following. By comparing the exterior and evolutionary forms one can see that they possess the opposite properties. Yet it was shown that the evolutionary differential forms generate the closed exterior differential forms. This elucidates that the exterior and evolutionary differential forms are the unified whole.

4 Role of exterior and evolutionary forms in mathematical physics

The role of exterior and evolutionary differential forms in mathematical physics is due to the fact that the mathematical apparatus of these forms make it possible to keep track of the conjugacy of the equations that describe physical processes. The functional properties of the solutions of differential equations are just depend on whether or not the conjugacy conditions are satisfied. If these equations (or derivatives with respect to different variables) be not conjugated, then the solutions to corresponding equations prove to be noninvariant: they are functionals rather than functions. The realization of the conditions (while varying variables), under which the equations become conjugated ones, leads to that the relevant solution becomes invariant. The transition to the invariant solution, which can be obtained only with the help of evolutionary forms, describes the various evolutionary transition.

In field theory only invariant solutions (to which the closed exterior forms correspond), and the evolutionary process is thereby not considered.

An investigation of differential equations, which describe physical processes, by means of the exterior and evolutionary differential forms allows to understand a mechanism of the evolutionary processes and origination of physical structures (of which the physical fields are formed) and explains such processes as fluctuations, pulsations, creation of massless particles, emergence of waves,

vortices and so on.

{It can be shown that the exterior and evolutionary differential forms allow to investigate a conjugacy of any differential equations. Ant this lies at the basis of the qualitative theory of differential equations. The conjugacy of equations points to integrability of equations.}

The basic idea of the investigation of the conjugacy of differential equations can be clarified by the example of the first-order partial differential equation.

Let

$$F(x^i, u, p_i) = 0, \quad p_i = \partial u / \partial x^i \quad (4.1)$$

be the partial differential equation of the first order. Let us consider the functional relation

$$du = \theta \quad (4.2)$$

where $\theta = p_i dx^i$ (the summation over repeated indices is implied). Here $\theta = p_i dx^i$ is the differential form of the first degree.

The left-hand side of this relation involves the differential, and the right-hand side includes the differential form $\theta = p_i dx^i$.

In the general case, from equation (4.1) it does not follow (explicitly) that the derivatives $p_i = \partial u / \partial x^i$ that obey to the equation (and given boundary or initial conditions of the problem) make up a differential. The commutator of the differential form θ defined as $K_{ij} = \partial p_j / \partial x^i - \partial p_i / \partial x^j$ is not equal to zero. The form $\theta = p_i dx^i$ proves to be unclosed and is not a differential like the left-hand side of relation (4.2). The functional relation (4.2) appears to be nonidentical: the left-hand side of this relation is a differential, but the right-hand side is not a differential. The form $\theta = p_i dx^i$ is an example of the evolutionary form, whereas functional relation (4.2) is an example of the evolutionary relation.

The nonidentity of functional relation (4.2) points to a fact that without additional conditions the derivatives of the initial equation do not make up a differential (they are nonconjugated). This means that the corresponding solution to the differential equation u will not be a function of x^i . It will depend on the commutator of the form θ , that is, it will be a functional.

To obtain the solution that is the function (i.e., the derivatives of this solution form a differential), it is necessary to add a closure condition for the form $\theta = p_i dx^i$ and for the dual form (in the present case the functional F plays a role of the form dual to θ) [2]:

$$\begin{cases} dF(x^i, u, p_i) = 0 \\ d(p_i dx^i) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

If we expand the differentials, we get a set of homogeneous equations with respect to dx^i and dp_i (in the $2n$ -dimensional space – initial and tangential):

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} p_i \right) dx^i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} dp_i = 0 \\ dp_i dx^i - dx^i dp_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

The solvability conditions for this set (vanishing of the determinant composed of the coefficients at dx^i, dp_i) have the form:

$$\frac{dx^i}{\partial F/\partial p_i} = \frac{-dp_i}{\partial F/\partial x^i + p_i \partial F/\partial u} \quad (4.5)$$

These conditions determine an integrating direction, namely, a pseudostructure, on which the form $\theta = p_i dx^i$ turns out to be closed one, i.e. it becomes a differential, and from relation (4.2) the identical relation is produced. If conditions (4.5), that may be called conjugacy conditions (integrability conditions), are satisfied, the derivatives constitute a differential $\delta u = p_i dx^i = du$ (on the pseudostructure), and the solution becomes a function. Just such solutions, namely, functions on the pseudostructures formed by the integrating directions, are the so-called generalized solutions [19]. The derivatives of the generalized solution form the exterior form that is closed on the pseudostructure.

If conditions (4.5) are not satisfied, that is, the derivatives do not form a differential, the solution that corresponds to such derivatives will depend on the differential form commutator formatted by derivatives. That means that the solution is a functional rather than a function.

Since the functions that are the generalized solutions are defined only on the pseudostructures, they have discontinuities in derivatives in the directions that are transverse to the pseudostructures. The order of derivatives with discontinuities is equal to the exterior form degree. If the form of zero degree is involved in the functional relation, then the function itself, being a generalized solution, will have discontinuities.

If we find the characteristics of equation (4.1), it appears that conditions (4.5) are the equations for the characteristics [14]. That is, the characteristics are the examples of the pseudostructures on which the derivatives of the differential equation form the closed forms and the solutions prove to be the functions (generalized solutions). (The characteristic manifolds of equation (4.1) are the pseudostructures π on which the form $\theta = p_i dx^i$ becomes a closed form: $\theta_\pi = du_\pi$).

Here it is worth noting that the coordinates of the equations for characteristics are not identical to the independent coordinates of the initial space on which equation (4.1) is defined. The transition from the initial space to the characteristic manifold appears to be a *degenerate* transform, namely, the determinant of the set of equations (4.4) becomes zero. The derivatives of equation (4.1) are transformed from the tangent space to the cotangent one.

Similar functional properties have the solutions to all differential equations and a system of partial differential equations.

Differential equations of mathematical physics, which describe physical processes, are those on which the invariance requirements (conjugacy conditions) are not imposed. Therefore they can have solutions that are functionals (their derivatives do not make up a differential). The solutions prove to be exact (generalized, not functionals) only under realization of the additional requirements, namely, the conditions of degenerate transforms: vanishing the determinants,

Jacobians and so on, that define the integral surfaces. The characteristic manifolds, the envelopes of characteristics, singular points, potentials of simple and double layers, residues and others are the examples of such surfaces.

Since the closed forms correspond to the physical structures that form the physical fields, it is evident that only the equations with additional conditions (the integrability conditions) can be the equations of the field theory.

Let us consider how such an equation can be obtained and to do so we return to equation (4.1).

Assume that it does not explicitly depend on u and it is solved with respect to some variable, for example t , that is, it has the form of

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + E(t, x^j, p_j) = 0, \quad p_j = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \quad (4.6)$$

Then integrability conditions (4.5) (the closure conditions of the differential form $\theta = p_i dx^i$ and the corresponding dual form) can be written as (in this case $\partial F / \partial p_1 = 1$)

$$\frac{dx^j}{dt} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial p_j}, \quad \frac{dp_j}{dt} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial x^j} \quad (4.7)$$

These are the characteristic relations (equations of characteristics) for equation (4.6). As it is well known, the canonical relations have just such a form.

Equation (4.6) provided with the supplementary conditions, namely, the canonical relations (4.7), is called the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [14]. The equations of field theory

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + H\left(t, q_j, \frac{\partial s}{\partial q_j}\right) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial s}{\partial q_j} = p_j \quad (4.8)$$

belong to this type. Here s is the field function for the action functional $S = \int L dt$. L is the Lagrange function, H is the Hamilton function: $H(t, q_j, p_j) = p_j \dot{q}_j - L$, $p_j = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_j$. The closed form $ds = -H dt + p_j dq_j$ (the Poincare invariant) corresponds to equation (4.8).

Here the degenerate transform is a transition from the Lagrange function to the Hamilton function. The equation for the Lagrange function, that is the Euler variational equation, was obtained from the condition $\delta S = 0$, where S is the action functional. In the real case, when forces are nonpotential or couplings are nonholonomic, the quantity δS is not a closed form, that is, $d\delta S \neq 0$. But the Hamilton function is obtained from the condition $d\delta S = 0$ which is the closure condition for the form δS . The transition from the Lagrange function L to the Hamilton function H (the transition from variables $q_j, \dot{q}_j$ to variables $q_j, p_j = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_j$) is the transition from the tangent space, where the form is unclosed, to the cotangent space with a closed form. One can see that this transition is a degenerate one.

In quantum mechanics (where to the coordinates q_j, p_j the operators are assigned) the Schrödinger equation [20] serves as an analog to equation (4.8), and the Heisenberg equation serves as an analog to the relevant equation for the canonical relation integral. Whereas the closed exterior differential form of zero

degree (the analog to the Poincare invariant) corresponds to the Schrödinger equation, the closed dual form corresponds to the Heisenberg equation.

The invariant field theories used only nondegenerate transformations that conserve the differential. By the example of the canonical relations it is possible to show that nondegenerate and degenerate transformations are connected. The canonical relations in the invariant field theory correspond to nondegenerate tangent transformations. At the same time, the canonical relations coincide with the characteristic relation for equation (4.8), which the degenerate transformations correspond to. The degenerate transformation is a transition from the tangent space $(q_j, \dot{q}_j)$ to the cotangent (characteristic) manifold (q_j, p_j) . On the other hand, the nondegenerate transformation is a transition from one characteristic manifold (q_j, p_j) to the other characteristic manifold (Q_j, P_j) .

The investigations conducted show that one must separate out two types of differential equations, namely, differential equations, that describe physical processes, and the invariant equations of the field theory, that describe physical structures forming physical fields. As the investigations conducted show these equations are connected between themselves. Invariant equations are obtained from equations that describe physical processes on which there are imposed additional conditions, namely, the conditions of existence of closed forms (invariants).

A connection of two types of equations of mathematical physics elucidates a relation between physical fields and material media. Differential equations that describe physical processes are equations of the conservation laws for material media whereas invariant equations of the field theory are equations (more precisely, relations) of the conservation laws for physical fields. Below with it will be shown that material media generate physical fields. And this process is controlled by the conservation laws.

5 Conservation laws

One has to distinguish two types of the conservation laws that for convenience can be called the exact conservation laws and the balance ones.

Exact conservation laws

The exact conservation laws are those that state the existence of conservative physical quantities or objects. The exact conservation laws are related to physical fields. {The physical fields [21] are a special form of the substance, they are carriers of various interactions such as electromagnetic, gravitational, wave, nuclear and other kinds of interactions.}

The closed exterior differential forms correspond to the exact conservation laws. Indeed, from the closure conditions of the exterior differential form (see Section 1, formulas (1.4), (1.8), (1.9)) it is evident that a closed differential form is a conservative quantity. In this case the closed inexact differential form and the corresponding dual form describe a conservative object, namely, there is a

conservative quantity only on some pseudostructure π . From this one can see that the closed exterior differential form can correspond to the exact conservation law.

The closure conditions for the exterior differential form ($d_\pi \theta^p = 0$) and the dual form ($d_\pi {}^*\theta^p = 0$) are mathematical expressions of the exact conservation law.

In section 1 and 3 it was mentioned that the pseudostructure and the closed exterior form defined on the pseudostructure make up a binary structure (Bi-Structure). It is evident that such a structure does correspond to the exact conservation law.

It is such structures (pseudostructures with a conservative physical quantity) that corresponding to exact conservation law that are the physical structures from which physical fields are formed. The problem of how these structures arise and how physical fields are formed will be discussed below.

Equations for the physical structures ($d_\pi \theta^p = 0$, $d_\pi {}^*\theta^p = 0$) turn out to coincide with the mathematical expression for the exact conservation law.

The mathematical expression for the exact conservation law and its connection with physical fields can be schematically written in the following manner

$$\begin{cases} d_\pi \theta^p = 0 \\ d_\pi {}^*\theta^p = 0 \end{cases} \mapsto \begin{cases} \theta^p \\ {}^*\theta^p \end{cases} \text{ — physical structures } \mapsto \text{ physical fields}$$

It is seen that the exact conservation law is that for physical fields.

It should be emphasized that the closed *inexact* forms correspond to the physical structures that form physical fields. The *exact* forms correspond to the material system elements. (About this it will be said below).

It can be shown that the field theories, i.e. the theories that describe physical fields, are based on the invariant and metric properties of the closed exterior differential and dual forms that correspond to exact conservation laws.

Balance conservation laws

The balance conservation laws are those that establish the balance between the variation of a physical quantity and the corresponding external action. These are the conservation laws for the material systems (material media).

{A material system is a variety of elements which have internal structure and interact to one another. As examples of material systems it may be thermodynamic, gas dynamical, cosmic systems, systems of elementary particles and others. The physical vacuum in its properties may be regarded as an analogue of the material system that generates some physical fields. Any material media are such material systems. Examples of elements that constitute the material system are electrons, protons, neutrons, atoms, fluid particles, cosmic objects and others}.

The balance conservation laws are the conservation laws for energy, linear momentum, angular momentum, and mass.

In the integral form the balance conservation laws express the following [22]: a change of a physical quantity in an elementary volume over a time interval is

counterbalanced by the flux of a certain quantity through the boundary surface and by the action of sources. Under transition to the differential expression the fluxes are changed by divergences.

The equations of the balance conservation laws are differential (or integral) equations that describe a variation of functions corresponding to physical quantities [16,22-24].

It appears that, even without knowledge of the concrete form of these equations, with the help of the differential forms one can see specific features of these equations that elucidate the properties of the balance conservation laws. To do so it is necessary to study the conjugacy (consistency) of these equations.

Equations are conjugate if they can be contracted into identical relations for the differential, i.e. for a closed form.

Let us analyze the equations that describe the balance conservation laws for energy and linear momentum.

We introduce two frames of reference: the first is an inertial one (this frame of reference is not connected with the material system), and the second is an accompanying one (this system is connected with the manifold built by the trajectories of the material system elements). The energy equation in the inertial frame of reference can be reduced to the form:

$$\frac{D\psi}{Dt} = A_1 \quad (5.1)$$

where D/Dt is the total derivative with respect to time, ψ is the functional of the state that specifies the material system, A_1 is the quantity that depends on specific features of the system and on external energy actions onto the system. {The action functional, entropy, wave function can be regarded as examples of the functional ψ . Thus, the equation for energy presented in terms of the action functional S has a similar form: $DS/Dt = L$, where $\psi = S$, $A_1 = L$ is the Lagrange function. In mechanics of continuous media the equation for energy of an ideal gas can be presented in the form [23]: $Ds/Dt = 0$, where s is entropy. In this case $\psi = s$, $A_1 = 0$. It is worth noting that the examples presented show that the action functional and entropy play the same role.}

In the accompanying frame of reference the total derivative with respect to time is transformed into the derivative along the trajectory. Equation (5.1) is now written in the form

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\xi^1} = A_1 \quad (5.2)$$

here ξ^1 is the coordinate along the trajectory.

In a similar manner, in the accompanying frame of reference the equation for linear momentum appears to be reduced to the equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\xi^\nu} = A_\nu, \quad \nu = 2, \dots \quad (5.3)$$

where ξ^ν are the coordinates in the direction normal to the trajectory, A_ν are the quantities that depend on the specific features of the system and external force actions.

Eqs. (5.2), (5.3) can be convoluted into the relation

$$d\psi = A_\mu d\xi^\mu, \quad (\mu = 1, \nu) \quad (5.4)$$

where $d\psi$ is the differential expression $d\psi = (\partial\psi/\partial\xi^\mu)d\xi^\mu$.

Relation (5.4) can be written as

$$d\psi = \omega \quad (5.5)$$

here $\omega = A_\mu d\xi^\mu$ is the differential form of the first degree.

Since the balance conservation laws are evolutionary ones, the relation obtained is also an evolutionary relation.

Relation (5.5) was obtained from the equation of the balance conservation laws for energy and linear momentum. In this relation the form ω is that of the first degree. If the equations of the balance conservation laws for angular momentum be added to the equations for energy and linear momentum, this form in the evolutionary relation will be the form of the second degree. And in combination with the equation of the balance conservation law of mass this form will be the form of degree 3.

Thus, in the general case the evolutionary relation can be written as

$$d\psi = \omega^p \quad (5.6)$$

where the form degree p takes the values $p = 0, 1, 2, 3..$ (The evolutionary relation for $p = 0$ is similar to that in the differential forms, and it was obtained from the interaction of energy and time.)

In relation (5.5) the form ψ is the form of zero degree. And in relation (5.6) the form ψ is the form of $(p - 1)$ degree.

Let us show that *the evolutionary relation obtained from the equation of the balance conservation laws proves to be nonidentical.*

To do so we shall analyze relation (5.5).

A relation may be identical one if this is a relation between measurable (invariant) quantities or between observable (metric) objects, in other words, between quantities or objects that are comparable.

In the left-hand side of evolutionary relation (5.5) there is a differential that is a closed form. This form is an invariant object. Let us show that the differential form ω in the right-hand side of relation (5.5) is not an invariant object because in real processes this form proves to be unclosed.

For the form to be closed the differential of the form or its commutator must be equal to zero (the elements of the form differential are equal to the components of its commutator).

Let us consider the commutator of the form $\omega = A_\mu d\xi^\mu$. The components of the commutator of such a form (as it was pointed above) can be written as follows:

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial A_\beta}{\partial \xi^\alpha} - \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial \xi^\beta} \right) \quad (5.7)$$

(here the term connected with the nondifferentiability of the manifold has not yet been taken into account).

The coefficients A_μ of the form ω have been obtained either from the equation of the balance conservation law for energy or from that for linear momentum. This means that in the first case the coefficients depend on the energetic action and in the second case they depend on the force action. In actual processes energetic and force actions have different nature and appear to be inconsistent. The commutator of the form ω constructed from the derivatives of such coefficients is nonzero. This means that the differential of the form ω is nonzero as well. Thus, the form ω proves to be unclosed and is not a measurable quantity.

This means that the evolutionary relation involves an unmeasurable term. Such a relation cannot be an identical one. (In the left-hand side of this relation it stands a differential, whereas in the right-hand side it stands an unclosed form that is not a differential.)

Hence, without knowledge of a particular expression for the form ω , one can argue that for actual processes the evolutionary relation proves to be nonidentical because of inconsistency of the external action.

The nonidentity of the evolutionary relation means that equations of the balance conservation laws turn out to be nonconjugated (thus, if from the energy equation we obtain the derivative of ψ in the direction along the trajectory and from the momentum equation we find the derivative of ψ in the direction normal to the trajectory and then we calculate their mixed derivatives, then from the condition that the commutator of the form ω is nonzero it follows that the mixed derivatives prove to be noncommutative). One cannot convolute them into an identical relation and obtain a differential.

The nonconjugacy of the balance conservation law equations reflects the properties of the balance conservation laws that have a governing importance for the evolutionary processes, namely, their noncommutativity.

6 Evolutionary processes in material media and origination of physical structures

It was shown above that the evolutionary relation that was obtained from the balance conservation law equations proves to be nonidentical. This points to the noncommutativity of the balance conservation laws. By analyzing the behavior of the nonidentical evolutionary relation one can understand to what result the noncommutativity of the balance conservation laws leads.

Let us consider evolutionary relation (5.6).

In the left-hand side of the evolutionary relation there is the functional expression $d\psi$ that determines the state of the material system, and in the right-hand side there is the form ω^p whose coefficients depend on external actions and the material system characteristics.

The evolutionary relation gives a possibility to determine either presence or absence of the differential (the closed form). And this allows us to recognize whether the material system state is in equilibrium, in local equilibrium or not in equilibrium. If it is possible to determine the differential $d\psi$ (the state

differential) from the evolutionary relation, this indicates that the system is in equilibrium or locally equilibrium state. And if the differential cannot be determined, then this means that the system is in a nonequilibrium state.

It is evident that if the balance conservation laws be commutative, the evolutionary relation would be identical and from that it would be possible to get the differential $d\psi$, this would indicate that the material system is in the equilibrium state.

However, as it has been shown, in real processes the balance conservation laws are noncommutative. The evolutionary relation is not identical and from this relation one cannot get the differential $d\psi$. This means that the system state is nonequilibrium. It is evident that a magnitude of internal force, which gives rise to the nonequilibrium, is described by the evolutionary form commutator.

Selfvariation of nonequilibrium state of material system. (Selfvariation of the evolutionary relation)

What does the material system nonequilibrium indicated by the nonidentity of the evolutionary relation results in?

As it was shown in Section 3, if the relation is evolutionary and nonidentical, it is a selfvarying relation, that is, a change of one object in the relation leads to a change of the other object, and in turn a change of the latter leads to a change of the former and so on. Such a specific feature of the evolutionary relation explains the particulars of the material system, namely, *the selfvariation of its nonequilibrium state*.

The selfvariation mechanism of the *nonequilibrium* state of the material system can be understood if analyze the properties of the evolutionary form commutator.

The evolutionary form in the evolutionary relation is defined on the accompanying manifold that for real processes appears to be the deformable manifold because it is formed simultaneously with a change of the material system state and depends on the physical processes. Such a manifold cannot be a manifold with closed metric forms. Hence, as it was already noted in Section 3, the term containing the characteristics of the manifold will be included into the evolutionary form commutator in addition to the term connected with derivatives of the form coefficients. The interaction between these terms of different nature describes a mutual change of the state of the material system.

The emergence of the second term under deformation of the accompanying manifold can only change the commutator and cannot make it zero (because the terms of the commutator have different nature). In the material system the internal force will continue to act even in the absence of external actions. The further deformation (torsion) of the manifold will go on. This leads to a change of the metric form commutator, produces a change of the evolutionary form and its commutator and so on. Such a process is governed by the nonidentical evolutionary relation and, in turn, produces a change of the evolutionary relation.

The process of selfvariation of the commutator controlled by the nonidentical evolutionary relation specifies a change of the internal force that acts in the material system. This points to a change of the material system state. But the material system state remains nonequilibrium in this process because the internal forces do not vanish due to the evolutionary form commutator remaining nonzero.

At this point it should be emphasized that such selfvariation of the material system state proceeds under the action of internal (rather than external) forces. That will go on even in the absence of external forces. That is, the selfvariation of the nonequilibrium state of the material system takes place.

It is the selfvariation of the nonidentical evolutionary relation obtained from the balance conservation laws for material systems that describes the selfvariation of the nonequilibrium state of material system.

Here it should be noted that in a real physical process the internal forces can be increased (due to the selfvariation of the nonequilibrium state of the material system). This can lead to the development of instability in the material system [25]. {For example, this was pointed out in the works by Prigogine [26]. “The excess entropy” in his works is analogous to the commutator of a nonintegrable form for the thermodynamic system. “Production of excess entropy” leads to the development of instability}.

Transition of the material system into a locally equilibrium state. (Degenerate transform)

Now the question arises whether the material system can get rid of the internal force and transfer into the equilibrium state?

The state of material system is equilibrium one if the state differential exists.

The state differential is included into the nonidentical evolutionary relation. But one cannot get the state differential from *nonidentical* relation. This points to that the material system cannot come to the equilibrium state. However, as it was shown in Section 3, under degenerate transform the identical relation can be obtained from the nonidentical evolutionary relation. From such a relation one can obtain the state differential. But to this differential there corresponds the *inexact* closed exterior form. this means that the state differential acts only locally. The availability of such state differential points not to the equilibrium state but to the locally equilibrium state of material system. Under this condition the total state of material system remains to be nonequilibrium.

How does the transition of the material system from the nonequilibrium state to a locally equilibrium one go on?

Let us consider nonidentical evolutionary relation (5.6).

As it was shown in Section 3, from the nonidentical evolutionary relation it can be obtained the identical relation if from the evolutionary unclosed relation the inexact closed exterior form can be obtained.

Since the evolutionary differential form ω^p is unclosed, the commutator, and

hence the differential, of this form is nonzero. That is,

$$d\omega^p \neq 0 \quad (6.1)$$

The unclosed inexact exterior form, which can be obtained from the evolutionary form, must satisfy the closure conditions:

$$\begin{cases} d_\pi \omega^p = 0 \\ d_\pi^* \omega^p = 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

That is, the differential of this form must be equal to zero. It is evident that a passage from condition (6.1) to condition (6.2) is allowed only as the degenerate transform. If such a transform takes place, on the pseudostructure π evolutionary relation (5.6) transforms into the relation

$$d_\pi \psi = \omega_\pi^p \quad (6.3)$$

which proves to be the identical relation. Indeed, since the form ω_π^p is a closed one, on the pseudostructure it turns out to be a differential of some differential form. In other words, this form can be written as $\omega_\pi^p = d_\pi \theta$. Relation (6.3) is now written as

$$d_\pi \psi = d_\pi \theta$$

There are differentials in the left-hand and right-hand sides of this relation. This means that the relation is an identical one.

It is from such a relation that one can find the state differential $d_\pi \psi$.

The emergence of the differential $d_\pi \psi$ indicates that the material system changes into the locally equilibrium state. *But in this case the total state of the material system turns out to be nonequilibrium.*

Here the following detail should be taken into account. The evolutionary differential form is defined on the accompanying manifold. The evolutionary relation has been obtained under the condition that the coordinate frame is tied to the accompanying manifold. This coordinate system is not an inertial or locally inertial system because the metric forms of the accompanying manifold are not closed ones. Condition (6.1) relates to the system tied to the accompanying manifold, whereas condition (6.2) may relate only to the coordinate system that is tied to a pseudostructure. The second condition in formula (6.2) being the equation for the pseudostructure points to this fact. It is only the locally inertial coordinate system that can be such a coordinate system. From this it follows that *the degenerate transform is realized as the transition from the accompanying noninertial coordinate system to the locally inertial that.*

To the degenerate transform it must correspond vanishing of some functional expressions. As it was already mentioned in Section 3, such functional expressions may be Jacobians, determinants, the Poisson brackets, residues and others. Vanishing of these functional expressions is the closure condition for a dual form.

The conditions of degenerate transform are connected with symmetries that can be obtained from the coefficients of evolutionary and dual forms and their

derivatives. Since the evolutionary relation describes the material systems and the coefficients of the form ω^p depend on the material system characteristics, it is obvious that the additional condition (the condition of degenerate transform) has to be due to the material system properties. This may be, for example, the availability of any degrees of freedom in the system. The translational degrees of freedom, internal degrees of freedom of the system elements, and so on can be examples of such degrees of freedom.

The availability of the degrees of freedom in the material system indicates that it is allowed the degenerate transform, which, in turns, allows the state of the material systems to be transformed from a nonequilibrium state to a locally equilibrium state. But, for this to take place in reality it is necessary that the additional conditions connected with the degrees of freedom of the material system be realized. It is selfvariation of the nonequilibrium state of the material system described by the selfvarying evolutionary relation that could give rise to realization of the additional conditions. This can appear only spontaneously because it is caused by internal (rather than external) reasons (the degrees of freedom are the characteristics of the system rather than of external actions).

7 The origination of the physical structures. Formation of physical fields and manifolds. The causality.

The identical relation (6.3) obtained from the nonidentical evolutionary relation (under degenerate transform) integrates the state differential and the closed inexact exterior form. The availability of the state differential indicates that the material system state becomes a locally equilibrium state (that is, the local domain of the system under consideration changes into the equilibrium state). The availability of the exterior closed inexact form means that the physical structure is present. This shows that the transition of material system into the locally equilibrium state is accompanied by the origination of physical structures.

Since closed inexact exterior forms corresponding to physical structure are obtained from the evolutionary relation for the material system, it follows that physical structures are generated by the material systems. (This is controlled by the conservation laws.)

In this manner the crated physical structures are connected with the material system, its elements, its local domains.

In the material system origination of a physical structure reveals as a new measurable and observable formation that spontaneously arises in the material system. *{As the examples it can be fluctuations, pulsations, waves, vortices, creating massless particles.}*

In the physical process this formation is spontaneously extracted from the local domain of the material system and so it allows the local domain of material system to get rid of an internal force and come into a locally equilibrium state.

The formation created in a local domain of the material system (at the cost

of an unmeasurable quantity that acts in the local domain as an internal force) and liberated from that, begins acting onto the neighboring local domain as a force. This is a potential force, this fact is indicated by the double meaning of the closed exterior form (on the one hand, a conservative quantity, and, on other hand, a potential force). (This action was produced by the material system in itself, and therefore this is a potential action rather than an arbitrary one). The transition of the material system from nonequilibrium into a locally equilibrium state (which is indicated by the formation of a closed form) means that the unmeasurable quantity described by the nonzero commutator of the nonintegrable differential form ω^p , that acts as an internal force, transforms into the measurable quantity. It is evident that it is just the measurable quantity that acts as a potential force. In other words, the internal force transforms into a potential force.

The neighboring domain of the material system works over this action that appears to be external with respect to that. If in the process the conditions of conjugacy of the balance conservation laws turn out to be satisfied again, the neighboring domain will create a formation by its own, and this formation will be extracted from this domain. In such a way the formation can move relative to the material system. (Waves are the example of such motions).

Characteristics of a created formation: intensity, vorticity, absolute and relative speeds of propagation of the formation.

Analysis of the formation and its characteristics allows a better understanding of the specific features of physical structures.

It is evident that the characteristics of the formation, as well as those of the created physical structure, are determined by the evolutionary form and its commutator and by the material system characteristics.

The following correspondence between the characteristics of the formations emerged and characteristics of the evolutionary forms, of the evolutionary form commutators and of the material system is established:

1) an intensity of the formation (a potential force) $\leftrightarrow$ *the value of the first term in the commutator of a nonintegrable form* at the instant of the formation is created;

2) vorticity $\leftrightarrow$ *the second term in the commutator that is connected with the metric form commutator*;

3) an absolute speed of propagation of the created formation (the speed in the inertial frame of reference) $\leftrightarrow$ *additional conditions connected with degrees of freedom of the material system*;

4) a speed of the formation propagation relative to the material system $\leftrightarrow$ *additional conditions connected with degrees of freedom of the material system and the velocity of the local domain elements*.

Characteristics of physical structures

Analysis of formations originated in the material system that correspond to physical structures allows us to clarify some properties of physical structures.

The observed formation and the physical structure are not identical objects. If the wave be such a formation, the structures formed by the wave front elements while its translation are examples of the physical structure. (In this case the wave element is a minipseudostructure. Under this translation such element forms a line or some surface, which are examples of the pseudostructure.) The observed formations are assigned to material systems, and the physical structures do to physical fields. In this case they are mutually connected. As it was already noted, the characteristics of physical structure, as well as the characteristics of the formation, are determined by the evolutionary form and its commutator and by the material system characteristics.

The originated physical structure is a realized pseudostructure and a corresponding inexact closed exterior form. What determines the characteristics of these objects?

The equation of the pseudostructure is obtained from the condition of degenerate transform and is dictated by a degree of freedom of material system. The pseudostructure is connected with the absolute speed of propagation of the created formation (see point 3 in the preceding section).

A closed exterior form corresponding to a physical structure is a conservative quantity that the state differential corresponds to. The differentials of entropy, action, potential and others are the examples of such differentials. These conservative quantities describe certain charges.

A physical structure possesses two more characteristics. This is connected with the fact that *inexact* closed forms correspond to these structures. Under transition from one structure to another the conservative quantity corresponding to the closed exterior form discretely changes, and the pseudostructure also changes discretely.

Discrete changes of the conservative quantity and pseudostructure are determined by the value of the evolutionary form commutator, which the commutator has at the instant when the physical structure originates. The first term of the evolutionary form commutator obtained from the derivatives of the evolutionary form coefficients controls the discrete change of the conservative quantity. As a corresponding characteristics of the created formation it serves the intensity of created formation (see point 1). The second term of the evolutionary form commutator obtained from the derivatives of the metric form coefficients of the initial manifold controls the pseudostructure change. Spin is the example of the second characteristics. As a corresponding characteristics of the created formation it serves the vorticity of created formation (see point 2). Spin is a characteristics that determines a character of the manifold deformation before origination of the quantum. (The spin value depends on the form degree.)

A discrete change of the conservative quantity and that of the pseudostructure produce the quantum that is obtained while going from one structure to another. The evolutionary form commutator formed at the instant of the struc-

ture origination determine characteristics of this quantum.

Breaks of the derivatives of the potential along the direction normal to the potential surface, breaks of the derivative in transition throughout the characteristic surfaces and in transition throughout the wave front, and others are the examples of such discrete changes.

The duality of the closed inexact form as a conservative quantity and as a potential force shows that the potential forces are the action of formations corresponding to the physical structures onto the material system elements.

Here the following should be pointed out. The physical structures are generated by local domains of the material system. They are the elementary physical structures. By combining with one another they can form the large-scale structures and physical fields.

Classification of physical structures. Formation of physical fields. (Parameters of the closed and dual forms)

Since the physical structures are generated by numerous local domains of the material system and at numerous instants of realizing various degrees of freedom of the material system, it is evident that they can generate fields. In this manner physical fields are formatted. To obtain the physical structures that form a given physical field one has to examine the material system corresponding to this field and the appropriate evolutionary relation. In particular, to obtain the thermodynamic structures (fluctuations, phase transitions, etc) one has to analyze the evolutionary relation for the thermodynamic systems, to obtain the gas dynamic ones (waves, jumps, vortices, pulsations) one has to employ the evolutionary relation for gas dynamic systems, for the electromagnetic field one must employ a relation obtained from equations for charged particles.

Closed forms that correspond to physical structures are generated by the evolutionary relation having the parameter p that defines a number of interacting balance conservation laws, that ranges from 0 to 3. Therefore, the physical structures can be classified by the parameter p . The other parameter is a degree of closed forms generated by the evolutionary relation. As it was shown above, the evolutionary relation of degree p can generate the closed forms of degree $0 \leq k \leq p$. Therefore, physical structures can be classified by the parameter k as well.

In addition, closed exterior forms of the same degree realized in spaces of different dimensions prove to be distinguishable because the dimension of the pseudostructures, on which the closed forms are defined, depends on the space dimension. As a result, the space dimension also specifies the physical structures. This parameter determines the properties of the physical structures rather than their type.

What is implied by the concept “space”?

While deriving the evolutionary relation two frames of reference were used and, correspondingly, two spatial objects. The first frame of reference is the inertial one that is connected with the space where the material system is situated and is not directly connected with the material system. This is an inertial

space, it is a metric space. (As it will be shown below, this space is also formed by the material system itself.) The second frame of reference is the proper one, it is connected with the accompanying manifold, which is not a metric manifold.

If the dimension of the initial inertial space is n , then while generating closed forms of sequential degrees $k = p, k = p - 1, \dots, k = 0$ the pseudostructures of dimensions $(n + 1 - k)$: $1, \dots, n + 1$ are obtained. As a result of transition to the exact closed form of zero degree the metric structure of the dimension $n + 1$ is obtained. Under the influence of an external action (and in the presence of degrees of freedom) the material system connected with the accompanying manifold can transfer the initial inertial space into the space of the dimension $n + 1$. {It is known that the skew-symmetric tensors of the rank k correspond to the closed exterior differential forms, and the pseudotensors of the rank $(N - k)$, where N is the space dimension, correspond to the relevant dual forms. The pseudostructures correspond to such tensors, but on the space formed with the dimension $n + 1$. That is, $N = n + 1$ }.

Hence, from the analysis of the evolutionary relation one can see that the type and the properties of the physical structures (and, accordingly, of physical fields) for a given material system depend on a number of interacting balance conservation laws p , on the degree of realized closed forms k , and on the space dimension.

The connection between the field theory equations and closed exterior forms presented in Section 1 shows that it is possible to classify physical fields according to the degree of exterior differential form. But within the framework of only exterior differential forms one cannot understand how this classification is explained. This can be elucidated only by application of evolutionary differential forms.

By introducing a classification with respect to p, k , and a space dimension we can understand the internal connection of various physical fields and interactions. So one can see a correspondence between the degree k of the closed forms realized and the type of interactions. Thus, $k = 0$ corresponds to the strong interaction, $k = 1$ corresponds to the weak interaction, $k = 2$ corresponds to the electromagnetic interaction, and $k = 3$ corresponds to the gravitational interaction.

Causality

The mathematical apparatus of evolutionary differential forms describing the balance conservation laws in the material systems explains the causality of the evolutionary processes in material systems, which lead to emergence of physical structures.

The evolutionary process in the material system and the emergence of physical structures can take place, if

1) the material system is subjected to an external action (*the evolutionary form commutator in the evolutionary relation obtained from the balance conservation laws is nonzero*),

2) a material system possesses the degrees of freedom (*there are the conditions of degenerate transform, under which from the nonidentical evolutionary relation an identical relation is obtained*),

3) the degrees of freedom of the material system have to be realized, that is possible only under selfvariations of the nonequilibrium state of material system (*the conditions of the degenerate transform have to be satisfied, this is possible under selfvariation of the nonidentical evolutionary relation*).

If these conditions (causes) are fulfilled, in the material system physical structures arise (*this is indicated by the presence of closed inexact form obtained from the identical relation*).

It is these structures that form physical fields.

Note that to each physical field it is assigned its own material system. The question of what physical system corresponds to a particular physical field is still an open question. Examples of such material systems are the thermodynamic, gas dynamical, the system of charged particles, cosmological systems, and so on. Maybe, for elementary particles the physical vacuum is such a system.

The evolutionary process may lead to creation of elements of its own material system (*while obtaining the exact form of zero degree*). (In this case the numbers are the analog of dual forms).

The emergence of physical structures in the evolutionary process proceeds spontaneously and is manifested as an emergence of certain observable formations. In this manner the causality of emerging various observable formations in material media is explained. Such formations and their manifestations are fluctuations, turbulent pulsations, waves, vortices, creating massless particles and others.

Here the role of the conservation laws in evolutionary processes should be emphasized once again. The noncommutativity of the balance conservation laws leads to the nonequilibrium state of the material system (subjected to external actions). The interaction of the noncommutative balance conservation laws controls the process of selfvarying the nonequilibrium state of the material system that leads to the realization of the degrees of freedom of the material system. This allows the material system to transform into the locally equilibrium state, which is accompanied by emergence of physical structures. The exact conservation laws correspond to the physical structures. Here one can see a connection between the balance and exact conservation laws. The physical structures that correspond to the exact conservation laws are produced by material system in the evolutionary processes based on the interaction of the noncommutative balance conservation laws [31].

The noncommutativity of the balance conservation laws and their controlling role in the evolutionary processes, that are accompanied by emerging physical structures, practically have not been taken into account in the explicit form anywhere. The mathematical apparatus of evolutionary differential forms enables one to take into account and describe these points.

The existing field theories that are invariant ones are based on some postulates. The investigation performed allows us to make the following conclusion.

The postulates, which lie at the basis of the existing field theories, correspond to the statement about the conjugacy of the balance conservation laws for material systems that generate physical structures forming physical fields.

It can be shown that any material system and any physical field is subjected to the above described regularities, which were obtained with the help of the mathematical apparatus of the exterior and evolutionary differential forms. Let us consider some material systems and physical fields.

1. *The thermodynamic systems*

The thermodynamics is based on the first and second principles of thermodynamics that were introduced as postulates [15]. The first principle of thermodynamics, which can be written in the form

$$dE + dw = \delta Q \quad (7.1)$$

follows from the balance conservation laws for energy and linear momentum (but not only from the conservation law for energy). This is analogous to the evolutionary relation for the thermodynamic system. Since δQ is not a differential, relation (7.1) which corresponds to the first principle of thermodynamics, as well as the evolutionary relation, appears to be a nonidentical relation. This points to a noncommutativity of the balance conservation laws (for energy and linear momentum) and to a nonequilibrium state of the thermodynamic system.

If condition of the integrability be satisfied, from the nonidentical evolutionary relation, which corresponds to the first principle of thermodynamics, it follows an identical relation. It is an identical relation that corresponds to the second principle of thermodynamics.

If $dw = p dV$, there is the integrating factor θ (a quantity which depends only on the characteristics of the system), where $1/\theta = pV/R$ is called the temperature T [15]. In this case the form $(dE + p dV)/T$ turns out to be a differential (interior) of some quantity that referred to as entropy S :

$$(dE + p dV)/T = dS \quad (7.2)$$

If the integrating factor $\theta = 1/T$ has been realized, that is, relation (7.2) proves to be satisfied, from relation (7.1), which corresponds to the first principle of thermodynamics, it follows

$$dS = \delta Q/T \quad (7.3)$$

This is just the second principle of thermodynamics for reversible processes. It takes place when the heat influx is the only action onto the system.

If in addition to the heat influx the system experiences a certain mechanical action (for example, an influence of boundaries), then we obtain

$$dS > \delta Q/T \quad (7.4)$$

that corresponds to the second principle of thermodynamics for irreversible processes.

In the case examined above a differential of entropy (rather than entropy itself) becomes a closed form. {In this case entropy manifests itself as the thermodynamic potential, namely, the function of state. To the pseudostructure there corresponds the state equation that determines the temperature dependence on the thermodynamic variables}.

2. The gas dynamical systems

We take the simplest gas dynamical system, namely, a flow of ideal (inviscous, heat nonconductive) gas [23].

Assume that gas (the element of gas dynamic system) is a thermodynamic system in the state of local equilibrium (whenever the gas dynamic system itself may be in nonequilibrium state), that is, it is satisfied the relation [15]

$$Tds = de + pdV \quad (7.5)$$

where T , p and V are the temperature, the pressure and the gas volume, s , e are entropy and internal energy per unit volume.

Let us introduce two frames of reference: an inertial one that is not connected with the material system and an accompanying frame of reference that is connected with the manifold formed by the trajectories of the material system elements.

The equation of the balance conservation law of energy for ideal gas can be written as [23]

$$\frac{Dh}{Dt} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{Dp}{Dt} = 0 \quad (7.6)$$

where D/Dt is the total derivative with respect to time (if to designate the spatial coordinates by x_i and the velocity components by u_i , $D/Dt = \partial/\partial t + u_i \partial/\partial x_i$). Here $\rho = 1/V$ and h are respectively the mass and the enthalpy densities of the gas.

Expressing enthalpy in terms of internal energy e with the help of formula $h = e + p/\rho$ and using relation (7.5) the balance conservation law equation (7.6) can be put to the form

$$\frac{Ds}{Dt} = 0 \quad (7.7)$$

And respectively, the equation of the balance conservation law for linear momentum can be presented as [23,27]

$$\text{grad } s = (\text{grad } h_0 + \mathbf{U} \times \text{rot } \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{F} + \partial \mathbf{U} / \partial t) / T \quad (7.8)$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ is the velocity of the gas particle, $h_0 = (\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U})/2 + h$, $\mathbf{F}$ is the mass force. The operator *grad* in this equation is defined only in the plane normal to the trajectory.

Since the total derivative with respect to time is that along the trajectory, in the accompanying frame of reference equations (7.7) and (7.8) take the form:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \xi^1} = 0 \quad (7.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \xi^\nu} = A_\nu, \quad \nu = 2, \dots \quad (7.10)$$

where ξ^1 is the coordinate along the trajectory, $\partial s / \partial \xi^\nu$ is the left-hand side of equation (7.8), and A_ν is obtained from the right-hand side of relation (7.8).

Equations (7.9) and (7.10) can be convoluted into the equation

$$ds = A_\mu d\xi^\mu \quad (7.11)$$

where $A_\mu d\xi^\mu = \omega$ is the first degree differential form (here $A_1 = 0, \mu = 1, \nu$).

Relation (7.11) is an evolutionary relation for gas dynamic system (in the case of local thermodynamic equilibrium). Here $\psi = s$. {It worth notice that in the evolutionary relation for thermodynamic system the dependence of entropy on thermodynamic variables is investigated (see relation (7.5)), whereas in the evolutionary relation for gas dynamic system the entropy dependence on the space-time variables is considered}.

Relation (7.11) appears to be nonidentical. To make it sure that this is true one must inspect a commutator of the form ω .

Nonidentity of the evolutionary relation points to the nonequilibrium and a development of the gas dynamic instability. Since the nonequilibrium is produced by internal forces that are described by the commutator of the form ω , it becomes evident that a cause of the gas dynamic instability is something that contributes into the commutator of the form ω .

One can see (see (7.8)) that the development of instability is caused by not a simply connectedness of the flow domain, nonpotential external (for each local domain of the gas dynamic system) forces, a nonstationarity of the flow.

{In the case when gas is nonideal equation (7.9) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \xi^1} = A_1$$

where A_1 is an expression that depends on the energetic actions (transport phenomena: viscous, heat-conductive). In the case of reacting gas extra terms connected with the chemical nonequilibrium are added. These factors contributes into the commutator of the form ω .}

All these factors lead to emergence of internal forces, that is, to nonequilibrium and to development of various types of instability.

And yet for every type of instability one can find an appropriate term giving contribution into the evolutionary form commutator, which is responsible for this type of instability. Thus, there is an unambiguous connection between the type of instability and the terms that contribute into the evolutionary form commutator in the evolutionary relation. {In the general case one has to consider the evolutionary relations that correspond to the balance conservation laws for angular momentum and mass as well}.

As it was shown above, under realization of additional degrees of freedom it can take place the transition from the nonequilibrium state to the locally equilibrium one, and this process is accompanied by emergence of physical structures. The gas dynamic formations that correspond to these physical structures are

shocks, shock waves, turbulent pulsations and so on. Additional degrees of freedom are realized as the condition of the degenerate transform, namely, vanishing of determinants, Jacobians of transforms, etc. These conditions specify the integral surfaces (pseudostructures): the characteristics (the determinant of coefficients at the normal derivatives vanishes), the singular points (Jacobian is equal to zero), the envelopes of characteristics of the Euler equations and so on. Under passing throughout the integral surfaces the gas dynamic functions or their derivatives suffer breaks.

3. Electromagnetic field

The system of charged particles is a material medium, which generates electromagnetic field.

If to use the Lorentz force $\mathbf{F} = \rho(\mathbf{E} + [\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{H}]/c)$, the local variation of energy and linear momentum of the charged matter (material system) can be written respectively as [24]: $\rho(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{E})$, $\rho(\mathbf{E} + [\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{H}]/c)$. Here ρ is the charge density, $\mathbf{U}$ is the velocity of the charged matter. These variations of energy and linear momentum are caused by energetic and force actions and are equal to values of these actions. If to denote these actions by Q^e , $\mathbf{Q}^i$, the balance conservation laws can be written as follows:

$$\rho(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{E}) = Q^e \quad (7.12)$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{E} + [\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{H}]/c) = \mathbf{Q}^i \quad (7.13)$$

After eliminating the characteristics of the material system (the charged matter) ρ and $\mathbf{U}$ by application of the Maxwell-Lorentz equations [24], the left-hand sides of equations (7.12), (7.13) can be expressed only in terms of the strengths of electromagnetic field, and then one can write equations (7.12), (7.13) as

$$c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{S} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I + Q^e \quad (7.14)$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{Q}^i \quad (7.15)$$

where $\mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}]$ is the Pointing vector, $I = (E^2 + H^2)/c$, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{E} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} + \operatorname{grad}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \operatorname{grad}) \mathbf{E} + \operatorname{grad}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}) - (\mathbf{H} \cdot \operatorname{grad}) \mathbf{H}$.

Equation (7.14) is widely used while describing electromagnetic field and calculating energy and the Pointing vector. But equation (7.15) does not commonly be taken into account. Actually, the Pointing vector $\mathbf{S}$ must obey two equations that can be convoluted into the *relation*

$$d\mathbf{S} = \omega^2 \quad (7.16)$$

Here $d\mathbf{S}$ is the state differential being 2-form and the coefficients of the form ω^2 (the second degree form) are the right-hand sides of equations (7.14), (7.15). It is just the evolutionary relation for the system of charged particles that generate electromagnetic field.

By analyzing the coefficients of the form ω^2 (obtained from equations (7.14), (7.15), one can assure oneself that the form commutator is nonzero. This means that from relation (7.16) the Pointing vector cannot be found. This points to the fact that there is no such a measurable quantity (a potential).

Under what conditions can the Pointing vector be formed as a measurable quantity?

Let us choose the local coordinates l_k in such a way that one direction l_1 coincides with the direction of the vector $\mathbf{S}$. Because this chosen direction coincides with the direction of the vector $\mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}]$ and hence is normal to the vectors $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, one obtains that $\text{div} \mathbf{S} = \partial S / \partial l_1$, where S is a module of $\mathbf{S}$. In addition, the projection of the vector $\mathbf{G}$ on the chosen direction turns out to be equal to $-\partial I / \partial l_1$. As a result, after separating from vector equation (7.15) its projection on the chosen direction equations (7.14), (7.15) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial l_1} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} Q^e \quad (7.17)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -c \frac{\partial I}{\partial l_1} + c \mathbf{Q}^i \quad (7.18)$$

$$0 = -\mathbf{G}'' - c \mathbf{Q}'''$$

Here the prime relates to the direction l_1 , double primes relate to the other directions. Under the condition $dl_1/dt = c$ from equations (7.17), (7.18) it is possible to obtain the relation in differential forms

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial l_1} dl_1 + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} dt = - \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial l_1} dl_1 + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} dt \right) + (Q^e dt + \mathbf{Q}^i dl_1) \quad (7.19)$$

Because the expression within the second braces in the right-hand side is not a differential (the energetic and force actions have different nature and cannot be conjugated), one can obtain a closed form only if this term vanishes:

$$(Q^e dt + \mathbf{Q}^i dl_1) = 0 \quad (7.20)$$

that is possible only discretely (rather than identically).

In this case $dS = 0$, $dI = 0$ and the modulus of the Pointing vector S proves to be a closed form, i.e. a measurable quantity. The integrating direction (the pseudostructure) will be

$$-\frac{\partial S / \partial t}{\partial S / \partial l_1} = \frac{dl_1}{dt} = c \quad (7.21)$$

The quantity I is the second dual invariant.

Thus, the constant c involving into the Maxwell equations is defined as the integrating direction.

4. Gravitational field

Material system (medium), which generates gravitational field, is cosmological system. What can be said about the pseudo-Riemann manifold and Riemann space? The distinctive property of the Riemann manifold is an availability of the curvature. This means that the metric form commutator of the third degree is nonzero. Hence, it does not equal zero the evolutionary form commutator of the third degree $p = 3$, which involves into itself the metric form commutator. That is, the evolutionary form that enters into the evolutionary relation is unclosed, and the relation is nonidentical.

When realizing pseugstructures of the dimensions 1, 2, 3, and 4 and obtaining the closed inexact forms of the degrees $k = 3$, $k = 2$, $k = 1$, $k = 0$, the pseudo-Riemann space is formed, and the transition to the exact form of zero degree corresponds to the transition to the Riemann space.

It is well known that while obtaining the Einstein equations it was suggested that there are fulfilled the conditions [28]: the Bianchi identity is satisfied, the coefficients of connectedness are symmetric, the condition that the coefficients of connectedness are the Christoffel symbols, and an existence of the transformation, under which the coefficients of connectedness vanish. These conditions are the conditions of realization of the degenerate transformations for nonidentical relations obtained from the evolutionary relation of the degree $p = 3$ and after going to the exact relations. In this case to the Einstein equation there corresponds the identical equation of the first degree.

In conclusion we can mention the following.

Physical fields are described by invariant field theory that is based on exact conservation laws. The properties of closed exterior differential forms lie at the basis of mathematical apparatus of the invariant theory. The mechanism of *forming* physical fields can be described only by evolutionary theory. The evolutionary theory that is based on the balance conservation laws for material systems is just such a theory. It is evident that as the common field theory it must serve a theory that involves the basic mathematical foundations of the evolutionary and invariant field theories.

References

1. Cartan E., Lecons sur les Invariants Integraux. -Paris, Hermann, 1922.
2. Cartan E., Les Systemes Differentials Exterieurs et Leurs Application Geometriques. -Paris, Hermann, 1945.
3. Finikov S. P., Method of the Exterior Differential Forms by Cartan in the Differential Geometry. Moscow-Leningrad, 1948 (in Russian).
4. Sternberg S., Lectures on Differential Geometry. -Englewood Clifff, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964
5. Encyclopedia of Mathematics. -Moscow, Sov. Encyc., 1979 (in Russian).
6. Bott R., Tu L. W., Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology. Springer, NY, 1982.

7. Petrova L. I., Exterior differential forms in the field theory. //Abstracts of International Conference dedicated to the 90th Anniversary of L. S. Pontryagin, Algebra, Geometry, and Topology. Moscow, 1998, 123-125 (in Russian).
8. Petrova L. I., The role of the conservation laws in evolutionary processes. Spacetime and Substance, 1, 2001, 1-23
9. Schutz B. F., Geometrical Methods of Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
10. Weinberg S., Gravitation and Cosmology. Principles and applications of the general theory of relativity. Wiley & Sons, Inc., N-Y, 1972.
11. Novikov S. P., Fomenko A. P., Elements of the differential geometry and topology. -Moscow, Nauka, 1987 (in Russian).
12. Wheeler J. A., Neutrino, Gravitation and Geometry. Bologna, 1960.
13. Konopleva N. P. and Popov V. N., The gauge fields. Moscow, Atomizdat, 1980 (in Russian).
14. Smirnov V. I., A course of higher mathematics. -Moscow, Tech. Theor. Lit. 1957, V. 4 (in Russian).
15. Haywood R. W., Equilibrium Thermodynamics. Wiley Inc. 1980.
16. Fock V. A., Theory of space, time, and gravitation. -Moscow, Tech. Theor. Lit., 1955 (in Russian).
17. Efimov N. V. Exterior Differential Forms in the Euclidian Space. Moscow State Univ., 1971.
18. Tonnelat M.-A., Les principes de la theorie electromagnetique et la relativite. Masson, Paris, 1959.
19. Vladimirov V. S., Equations of the mathematical physics. -Moscow, Nauka, 1988 (in Russian).
20. Dirac P. A. M., The Principles of Quantum Mechanics. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1958.
21. Encyclopedic dictionary of the physical sciences. -Moscow, Sov. Encyc., 1984 (in Russian).
22. Dafermos C. M. In "Nonlinear waves". Cornell University Press, Ithaca-London, 1974.
23. Clark J. F., Machesney M., The Dynamics of Real Gases. Butterworths, London, 1964.
24. Tolman R. C., Relativity, Thermodynamics, and Cosmology. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1969.
25. Petrova L. I., Origination of physical structures. //Izvestia RAN, Fizika, N 1, 2003.
26. Prigogine I., Introduction to Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes. -C.Thomas, Springfield, 1955.
27. Liepman H. W., Roshko A., Elements of Gas Dynamics. John Wiley, New York, 1957
28. Einstein A. The Meaning of Relativity. Princeton, 1953.